ENT COOPERATION TREA

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

DESHMUKH, Jav R. RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED 600 College Road East, Suite 2100 Princeton, New Jersey 08540 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Rec'd PET/PTO 24 FEB

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** 

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

26.11.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

RLL-267WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/IB 02/03433

International filing date (day/month/year)

23.08.2002

23.08.2002

Applicant

RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and jurnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

**Authorized Officer** 

Schlemmer, M-C

40.00.0000.0000





#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's f RLL-267WO	ile reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application	n No.	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IB 02/03433		23.08.2002		23,08.2002		
	assification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification a	nd IPC			
C07D209/52						
Applicant						
RANBAXY LABORATORIES LIMITED et al.						
		A 4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-				
This internation     Authority and	nal preliminary exar	nination report has been applicant according to	n prepared by this Inte Article 36.	ernational Preliminary Examining		
Authority and	is trailismitted to the	application decorating to the				
2. This REPORT	consists of a total of	of 4 sheets, including th	is cover sneet.			
☐ This rep	ort is also accompa	nied by ANNEXES, i.e.	sheets of the descript	ion, claims and/or drawings which have		
heen an	anded and are the	basis for this report and n 607 of the Administrati	or sheets containing i	rectifications made before this Authority		
	es consist of a total of					
These annexe	es consist of a total s					
3. This report co	ntains indications re	lating to the following ite	ems:			
I ⊠ Ba	sis of the opinion					
1	iority					
i			ovelty, inventive step	and industrial applicability		
	ck of unity of invent					
V ⊠ Re	easoned statement i ations and explanat	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi ions supporting such sta	th regard to novelty, it atement	nventive step or industrial applicability;		
VI 🗆 Ce	VI  Certain documents cited					
	VII   Certain defects in the international application					
VIII 🗆 Ce	VIII  Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report						
19.03.2004			26.11.2004			
	dress of the internation	nal	Authorized Officer	nes Palony.		
preliminary examining	authority:	under Minister				
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d			Herz, C			



Fav. 149 89 2399 - 0 1X: 5

Tolonhone No. 4.40 80 2300-8275



## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 02/03433

1.	Bas	is	of	the	re	por	t
----	-----	----	----	-----	----	-----	---

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-36		as originally filed				
	Clair	ms, Numbers					
	1-54		as originally filed				
			•				
2.	With lang	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	se elements were ava	ilable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a trai	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the language of a train	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under				
3.	With inter	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.				
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclos in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.  This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sinc been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).							
		(Any replacement sh	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

International application No.

PCT/IB 02/03433

Ш.	Nor	-establishment of opinion wit	th rega	ard to novel	y, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
		□ the entire international application,					
	$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 8-16					
		because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 8-16 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
		see separate sheet					
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
		no international search report l	nas be	en establishe	ed for the said claims: Nos.		
2.	or a	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative nstructions:					
		I the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
		the computer readable form ha	as not	been furnishe	ed or does not comply with the Standard.		
V.	<ul> <li>V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;</li> <li>citations and explanations supporting such statement</li> </ul>						
1.	Sta	Statement					
	No	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-7,17-54		
	Inv	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-7,17-54		
	Ind	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-7,17-54		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/IB 02/03433 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- 1. Claims 8 to 16 are directed to a therapeutical method performed on humans. Under the terms of Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT, the International Preliminary Examination Authority is not required to carry out an examination on such claims.
- 2. Due to the invariable presence of a 3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexyl moiety the compounds claimed are considered to be novel vis-à-vis the state of the art as represented by the documents cited in the International Search Report (ISER).

With regard to this state of the art an inventive step can be acknowledged since, for the compounds claimed, the presence of a 3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexyl moiety constitutes a substantial structural difference not being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

3. The use of the terms "esters; prodrugs; metabolites; lower; sulphonamide derivatives; alkenyl; alkoxy; arylalkyl; arylalkenyl; heteroarylalkyl; heteroarylalkenyl" throughout the claims without further definitive qualification therein renders these claims obscure in scope in that it does not indicate any specific substituents. Therefore it is not clear whether the compounds implied fall within the scope of the claims of the present application and/or constitute a solution to the problem underlying the application. As chemical species can be precisely defined by the identity and number of atoms involved (cf. the definitions given on page 9 and 10 of the specification) the incorporation of the specific substituents given in the specification is therefore necessary (Articles 6, 33 (3) PCT).